1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORP., Case No. C03-2267 VRW (JCS) 6 Plaintiff(s), ORDER GRANTING IN PART, 7 DENYING IN PART JOINT LETTER v. **BRIEF RE: MOTION TO COMPEL** 8 MANGOSOFT, INC., ET AL., **DISCOVERY** [Docket No. 134] 9 Defendant(s). 10 11 On January 31, 2006, the parties file a Joint Letter Brief regarding the results of their meet-12 and-confer session regarding Defendant's Motion to Compel. 13 On February 9, 2006, a telephonic hearing was held on the Joint Letter Brief. Michael Powell and Doug Lumish, counsel for Plaintiff, were present. Roger Kennedy and Peggy 14 15 Bruggman, in-house counsel for Oracle, were present. Thomas Lathram, counsel for Defendant, was 16 present. 17 For reasons stated on the record, 18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall, in good faith, answer the following 19 interrogatory: what versions of UNIX or Windows were run on Oracle computers during the time 20 one (1) year prior to the application of the patent through the issuance of the patent? All other 21 Motions to Compel are DENIED as moot. 22 IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Court expects the parties to live up to their 23 agreements regarding discovery. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: February 15, 2006 27 PH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge 28